## Appendix 2 -Schedule of Responses in relation to Statement of Licensing Policy (Gambling)

Reference	Respondent	Comments	Response
GP/1	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/2	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/3	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution Too many gambling premises	No change to Policy required
GP/4	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution additive to young people	No change to Policy required
GP/5	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution The council should ensure that all licenced properties have a trained licence holder approved by council	No change to Policy required
GP/6	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/7	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution Gambling ruins lives	No change to Policy required

GP/8	Resident	Council should retain pass a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
		We hear all the time about the misery caused by indiscriminate gambling by members of the public. Surely, we have enough gaming machines in the area without introducing a casino as well.	
GP/9	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution I don't think our area needs casinos, there are some in Newcastle which is near enough	No change to Policy required
GP/10	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/11	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution A casino in the right location could bring in well needed investment into the area	No change to Policy required
GP/12	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution In my experience gambling regularly and without real control can lead to misery for the gambler and their children/families - and often to criminal acts as debts mount, so the more regular gambling can be discouraged the better - so encouraging machines	No change to Policy required

	in pubs and clubs to have limits and no cash machines nearby, and limiting betting premises - especially in high poverty areas - and making sure child protection policies are adhered to are key, One off or low level activities are less problematic I guess but local research with gambling helplines would give some hard information on what are the most risky activities and if any fall within the councils governance	
Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
	Rather than a blanket 'no casino' resolution, I would like to see each application for a casino considered on it's own merits - undoubtedly the 'wrong' kind of casino in the wrong location could be very detrimental to the area, it's residents and the casino's customers & their family. However, an up market casino (& perhaps a multi purpose venture) in our newly refurbished Whitley Bay (as an example) could be an attraction to those within & without our area.	
Resident (Member of a number of Women's Organisations)	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
	Gambling is already ruining lives in England, so I feel very strongly that we should retain the No Casino policy in North Tyneside	
	Resident (Member of a number of Women's	cash machines nearby, and limiting betting premises - especially in high poverty areas - and making sure child protection policies are adhered to are key, One off or low level activities are less problematic I guess but local research with gambling helplines would give some hard information on what are the most risky activities and if any fall within the councils governanceResidentCouncil should not pass a 'No Casino' resolutionRather than a blanket 'no casino' resolutionResidentCouncil should not pass a 'No Casino' resolutionResident (Member of a number of Women's Organisations)Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolutionGambling is already ruining lives in England, so I feel very strongly that we should retain the No Casino policy

GP/15	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution No call for this kind of establishment in North Tyneside	No change to Policy required
GP/16	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/17	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution I believe that the gambling industry is a criminal rip-off and should be discourage as exploitation. I would love to see advertising for gambling banned nationally - the amount on TV is a disgrace to the country. Unfortunately, banning gambling itself would only drive it underground and make it harder to control.	No change to Policy required
GP/18	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution There is a need to restrict gambling in order to protect society from it's downfalls ie debt and addiction there is enough available online	No change to Policy required
GP/19	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution Gambling is a problem already and more opportunities to gamble would	No change to Policy required

		encourage more financial difficulties for vulnerable people	
GP/20	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/21	Ward Councillor	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution People are losing a lot of money on gaming machines so they should be restricted	No change to Policy required
GP/22	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution As you have no powers to ban high level gaming machines I have no comments.	No change to Policy required
GP/23	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution I support the Licencing Authority on it's No Casino policy simply because of the level of policing that is now in place within the borough and the number of liquor licensed premises already established in the area. The addition of a further high risk number of Gambling / liquor licences premises would stretch the enforcement of the borough's enforcement capabilities as well as that of the local police force	No change to Policy required

		which is clearly under manned at present.	
GP/24	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/25	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution Let residents make their own choices	No change to Policy required
GP/26	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/27	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/28	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
		As a socially aware council we should support people in avoiding the negative issues surrounding gambling and addiction.	
GP/29	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required

GP/30	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/31	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/32	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/33	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution I feel there is enough gambling available online and a casino would not help the area without considerable problems	No change to Policy required
GP/34	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/35	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/36	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required

GP/37	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/38	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution Dependent on location, a casino will attract a percentage of undesirables, and increase the likelihood of anti- social behaviour. In turn, this puts extra pressure on emergency services, and decreases the quality of life for residents.	No change to Policy required
GP/39	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution I breathe a sigh of relief at the council's intention. North Tyneside should be an area encouraging people away from gambling and not towards it. I also have concerns about the other associated businesses that tend to follow casinos into an area, which generally means strip clubs and unregulated sex work.	No change to Policy required
GP/40	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required

GP/41	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution I agree with the policy as presented	No change to Policy required
GP/42	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/43	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/44	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/45	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/46	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution I do not believe this would improve North Tyneside at all. Gambling destroys lives. I would feel strongly enough to vote against any party proposing changes to increase gambling/casinos this in an election.	No change to Policy required

GP/47	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/48	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/49	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/50	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/51	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/52	Resident	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/53	Resident	Council should not pass a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required
GP/54	Responsible Authority	Council should retain a 'No Casino' resolution	No change to Policy required

GP/55	Responsible Authority	Agree with content of Policy.	
		(pg 33) Protecting children and other vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling – consider the age or definition of child/young person in that part to confirm who it applies to.	Policy amended. Definition of children added to section.